Concording EU trade and production data over time



by I. Van Beveren, A.B. Bernard and H. Vandenbussche

December 2012 **No 239**



National Bank of Belgium Limited liability company

RLP Brussels - Company's number: 0203.201.340

Registered office: boulevard de Berlaimont 14 – BE-1000 Brussels

www.nbb.be

Editor

Jan Smets

Member of the Board of directors of the National Bank of Belgium

© Illustrations: National Bank of Belgium

Layout: Analysis and Research Group Cover: NBB AG – Prepress & Image

Published in December 2012

Abstract

This paper provides concordance procedures for product-level trade and production data in the EU and examines the implications of changing product classifications on measured product adding and dropping at Belgian firms. Using the algorithms developed by Pierce and Schott (2012a, 2012b), the paper develops concordance procedures that allow researchers to trace changes in coding systems over time and to translate product-level production and trade data into a common classification that is consistent both within a single year and over time. Separate procedures are created for the eight-digit Combined Nomenclature system used to classify international trade activities at the product level within the European Union as well as for the eight-digit Prodcom categories used to classify products in European domestic production data. The paper further highlights important differences in coverage between the Prodcom and Combined Nomenclature classifications which need to be taken into account when generating combined domestic production and international trade data at the product level. The use of consistent product codes over time results in less product adding and dropping at continuing firms in the Belgian export and production data.

Key Words: Prodcom, Combined Nomenclature, Harmonized System, concordance, exports, imports.

JEL Classification: F1, C81.

Corresponding authors:

Ilke Van Beveren, Research Department NBB (e-mail: ilke.vanbeveren@nbb.be), Lessius Department of Business Studies, KULeuven CES & LICOS, e-mail: ilke.vanbeveren@kuleuven.be

Andrew B. Bernard, Tuck School of Business at Dartmouth, CEPR, & NBER, e-mail: andrew.b.bernard@tuck.dartmouth.edu

Hylke Vandenbussche, Research Department NBB, IRES-CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, LICOS & CEPR, e-mail: hylke.vandenbussche@uclouvain.be

We are grateful to Danny Delcambre, Karo Nuortila and Jussi Ala-Kihnia from Eurostat for providing us with supplementary files and clarifications related to the concordances, and to Catherine Fuss (NBB) for her comments and insights. This paper was written while like Van Beveren and Hylke Vandenbussche were visiting the National Bank of Belgium. All remaining errors are our own.

The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Bank of Belgium or any other institution to which the authors are affiliated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1. Ir | ntroduction | 1 |
|-------|---|----|
| | Classification systems for international trade and domestic production in the | |
| 2.1 | International trade activities: The Combined Nomenclature classification | 3 |
| 2.2 | Domestic production activities: The Prodcom classification | 5 |
| 2.3 | Combining data on international trade and domestic production | 7 |
| 3. C | Concording data | 9 |
| 3.1 | Generic concordance procedure and terminology | 9 |
| 3.2 | Combined Nomenclature (CN8) over time | 12 |
| 3.3 | Prodcom (PC8) over time | 13 |
| 3.4 | Concording CN8 and PC8 in a single year to HS6 | 15 |
| 4. A | Applying concordances to Belgian trade and production data | 17 |
| 4.1 | Exports at continuing firms | 17 |
| 4.2 | Production at continuing firms | 19 |
| 5. C | Conclusion | 20 |
| Refe | erences | 22 |
| Tabl | es and figures | 23 |
| Natio | onal Bank of Belgium - Working papers series | 33 |

1 Introduction

In recent years there has been a rapid increase of research using product-level data to study both international trade and domestic production. Increasingly this research considers changes over time within and across detailed product categories. However, product classification systems are constantly being modified by government authorities charged with data collection and, as a result, underlying physical goods may be classified in different categories in different years and in some cases may not be part of the classification system in all years. Pierce and Schott (2012a,b) address these concerns for US data and provide a methodology for creating consistent product codes across and between trade and production data. In this paper, we modify their concordance methodology for use with EU production and trade data at the product-level.¹

A number of recent papers either employ longitudinal EU trade and production data or link trade and production data at the product-level. Alvarez et al. (2006) follow prices of individual products from distinct establishments over time to examine price stickiness in the Euro area. Colantone and Crinò (2011) employ European data on production and imports at the product level over time to examine the role of imported inputs on the introduction of new products. Bernard et al. (2012) use domestic production and international trade data for Belgium in 2005 to examine the relationship between produced and exported products by manufacturing firms. Méjean and Schwellnus (2006) use a panel of international trade data at the firm-product-country level to examine whether price convergence in the euro area is driven by within-firm or firm composition effects.

In this paper, we provide insights into the coverage and structure of the EU production and trade classifications and the variation of those classifications over time. We highlight issues related to the development of a common classification that allows researchers to compare product-level production and trade data. Data sets requiring these concordance procedures might cover product-level trade data over time, product-level production data over time, or linked trade and production data by product for individual years or over time. Using the algorithms developed by Pierce and Schott (2012a,b) we develop general concordance programs that allow individual researchers to create internally-consistent product

¹Alternative concordance procedures can be used to keep track of changes in classification systems over time. For instance, Fuss and Zhu (2012) use the methodology developed by E. Dhyne (NBB) to concord the domestic production data for Belgium over time by assuming constant production shares over time, i.e. if a firm produces a particular product in t that has been split into more than one product category in t+1, they split production into the different categories in t by applying the production shares of t+1 (firm-level or average across firms if the firm no longer produces any of the product categories in t+1). They convert the data to the product classification observed in the last year of the sample.

classifications that are appropriate to the countries and years in their data. All the programs and product classification files discussed in this paper are available for download.²

We examine the implications of using data without adjustments for variation in the trade and production classifications for Belgian firm-level data from 1995-2003. Ignoring changes in the product classifications results in a substantial overstatement of product adding and dropping for continuing exporters. Employing consistent export product codes causes the number of added and dropped export products to fall by more than 5 percent while the value of exports in added and dropped products decreases by more than 50 percent. Using consistent product codes for production also substantially reduces product adding and dropping, more than 10 percent for the number of products and more than a third for the value of production.

Perhaps most important are the year-by-year changes. Years with major revisions to the export or production classification systems also appear to be years with disproportionately large amounts of product adding and dropping. However, the large amount of product churning is almost entirely a result of the classification changes themselves. The use of consistent codes shows that product adding and dropping is not abnormally high in such years.

Section 2 describes the classification systems used in the EU to record domestic production and international trade activities and provides insights into the differences in coverage between the domestic production and trade classifications. Section 3 describes the generic concordance algorithm, developed by Pierce and Schott (2012a) and then applies this algorithm to EU international trade and production data. Section 4 applies the concordances developed to firm-product level production and trade data for Belgium and Section 5 concludes.

2 Classification systems for international trade and domestic production in the European Union

In this section, we introduce the product classification systems used in the European Union for trade and production. While the two systems are designed to be similar there are important differences between the two at a point in time and across years. All the concordances developed below rely on classification lists and concordance tables provided by Eurostat.³

² www.sites.google.com/site/ilkevanbeveren/Concordances.

³Most classifications and concordance tables are available for download on the European Union's classification metadata server, i.e. the Ramon server (http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/ramon/). Supplementary files have been provided by Danny Delcambre, Karo Nuortila and Jussi Ala-Kihnia from Eurostat.

2.1 International trade activities: The Combined Nomenclature classification

EU Statistics on the international trade of goods register the value and quantity of goods traded (i) between Member States of the EU (intra-EU trade) and (ii) by EU Member States with non-EU countries (extra-EU trade). When goods are declared to customs in the European Union, they have to be classified according to the 8-digit Combined Nomenclature or CN8. The first six digits of the CN8 codes correspond to the (international) Harmonized System (HS6) nomenclature. The Harmonized System is established and maintained by the World Customs Organization (WCO). This systematic list of commodities forms the basis for international trade negotiations, and is applied by most trading nations. The European CN8 classification system is an (8-digit) extension of the HS6 classification system, analogous to the ten-digit extensions (HS10) employed by the US.

The CN8 classification was developed to meet, at the same time, the requirements both of the Common Customs Tariffs and of the external trade statistics of the European Union (extra-EU trade). The CN8 classification is also used to record intra-Community trade statistics (intra-EU trade). In 2010 there were 9443 CN8 products but the number varies across years, reaching a peak of 10606 CN8 products in 1997.

The structure of the CN8 classification is illustrated in Table 1. The table lists the number of CN8 products in each year since 1988, when it was implemented for the first time.⁴ The first six digits of the CN8 products correspond to (international) 6-digit Harmonized System (HS6) products. The Harmonized System also undergoes periodical revisions, between 1988 and 2010 it has been updated four times (in 1992, 1996, 2002 and 2007). Revision years for the Harmonized System tend also to be years of substantial changes in the Combined Nomenclature classification.

It is important to note that the coverage of the CN8 classification has not changed over time, i.e. the types of goods that are covered by the CN8 classification have not changed. However, the CN8 classification is updated on an annual basis so that a good may receive a different CN8 code from one year to the next. Such updates can be motivated by changes that have been agreed at the international level, either at the World Customs Organization with regard to the nomenclature at HS6 level, or within the framework of the WTO with regard to conventional rates of duty. Other changes may be required to reflect the evolution of commercial policy, technology or statistical requirements. Updates entail changes in the

 $^{^4{\}rm The~CN8}$ classification was established by Council Regulation (EEC) 2658/87 and amended by Commission Regulation (EU) 1006/2011.

coding system and necessitate a concordance procedure to be able to compare product-level EU trade data across years.

Although regulation on external trade statistics is EU-based, the trade data are maintained and collected by the National Statistical Institutes (NSIs)⁵ of the member states.⁶ Member states are required to report country-product-level aggregated data to Eurostat. These country-product-level data come from a combination of mandatory firm surveys and customs records. Whether firms have to report their trade transactions depends on the value and destination/origin of trade flows.

Specifically, for intra-EU trade flows, firms have to report their product-country level trade flows on a monthly basis, using an electronic submission system. EU member states are allowed to exempt firms from reporting intra-EU trade to ease the burden of reporting, but member states have to ensure that at least 97 percent of total trade is covered. Therefore, different EU countries can impose different cutoffs for reporting (usually defined in terms of current or past trade value). Cutoffs have to be defined annually by the member states, hence they can increase in size.

For trade flows destined for or originating in countries outside of the European Union (extra-EU trade), data are collected from customs data. Usually these data are collected on a transaction basis, though a few companies are exempt from this. Exempted companies file a monthly declaration with their NSI. Customs declarations are collected on a daily basis and aggregated by the NSIs. For extra-EU trade, all transactions whose value is higher than €1,000 or whose weight is greater than 1,000kg have to be recorded. Since 2006, electronic reporting procedures have been more widely implemented for customs transactions, resulting in very small transactions also being reported.

It should also be noted that the group of destination and origin countries in the intra-EU and extra-EU declaration has changed over time due to changes in EU membership. For the time period considered here (1988-2010), there are three such changes. In 1995, Austria, Finland and Sweden joined the EU. In May 2004, ten new countries joined: Cyprus, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia. In 2007, Bulgaria and Romania joined.

⁵Collection and dissemination of statistics in the European Union is carried out by the "European Statistical System" (ESS), consisting of the European Commission (Eurostat), the national statistical institutes and other national authorities of the member states. See http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/portal/page/portal/pgp_ess/about_ess for the list of NSIs and other national institutes involved in data collection.

⁶See http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/International_trade_in_goods for more detailed information regarding the collection of data on international trade in goods, relevant EU regulation and other metadata.

If a country joins the EU, exports to and imports from that destination are no longer reported in the extra-EU trade statistics (there is no customs declaration within the EU) and have to be declared in the intra-EU trade statistics. However, due to the cutoffs for reporting intra-EU trade, it is possible that a particular firm's exports to the country may no longer be recorded after the accession. The 2004 enlargement poses particular difficulties for researchers as it occurred in the middle of the calendar year (May 1, 2004). Trade with these ten countries is covered by different rules before and after May 1, 2004 and numerous firms that are probably trading continuously appear to be exiting these ten markets on that date.

2.2 Domestic production activities: The Prodcom classification

The name Prodom refers to "statistics on the production of manufactured goods". Specifically, Prodom refers to both a *database* that records data on the physical production of manufactured products within EU countries and to a *product classification* used to classify physical production of manufactured goods. The term comes from the French "PRODuction COMmunautaire" (Community Production).

In the Prodcom survey, EU firms are required to report their industrial production and services in products that are on the Prodcom list. Although Prodcom regulation is EU-based, firm-product level Prodcom data are obtained by the NSIs of the member states.⁷ The member states are required to report product-level aggregated data to Eurostat. Member states can exempt firms from reporting to Prodcom to ease the reporting burden, but they have to ensure that 90 percent of national production in each NACE 4d sector covered by Prodcom is included in the Prodcom survey.⁸ Cutoffs for reporting can therefore differ in different EU countries and can increase over time. The Prodcom survey is mandatory for all qualifying firms. All EU member states, EFTA (European Free Trade Association) countries Norway and Iceland and some future EU accession countries are bound by the Prodcom reporting requirements (Eurostat, 2006a).

In the Prodcom declaration, which has to be filed to the appropriate NSI on a monthly basis, firms are required to record their production activities at the 8-digit Prodcom (PC8) product level. Among other information, the Prodcom declaration includes (firm-)product

⁷See http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu/statistics_explained/index.php/PRODCOM_statistics for more detailed information regarding the Prodcom regulation and other metadata. PRODCOM was established by Council Regulation (EEC) 3924/91, ammended by Regulation (EC) 1882/2003 and 1893/2003 of the European Parliament and the Council.

⁸The NACE classification is the statistical classification of economic activities in the European Union, cfr. infra.

level data on the physical volume and value of production sold during the survey period. Products are defined as Prodcom products, i.e. they are part of the European Prodcom list. As with the EU trade data, the PC8 codes are also subject to annual changes, i.e. the same good covered by the Prodcom survey in neighboring years might be reported under different PC8 codes.

In addition there are three major additional complications with using the Prodcom data. First, while coverage of the CN8 classification is constant across years, coverage of the Prodcom list has changed over time. The coverage changes mean that a good may be covered by a Prodcom code in one year but not covered by any Prodcom code in another year. It is not possible to keep track of production in these codes over time, so they need to be dropped from the production data when concording over time.

The second major issue with the Prodcom classification system is the use of B-list and N-list optional codes. These codes were either introduced at the request of member states (B-list) or implemented by Eurostat (N-list), to allow for a finer level of disaggregation of production than that afforded by the PC8 codes. While some countries used the optional codes, many countries continued to report production in the more aggregated (mandatory) codes, rendering calculation of EU totals for these optional products impossible. As a consequence, optional products were gradually phased out and were eliminated completely by 2005. Table 2 shows the large number of optional codes in 1993 and the elimination of these same codes by 2005.

The third issue that needs to be taken into account when concording Prodcom codes over time is the existence of more aggregated versions of mandatory PC8 codes. These codes are listed in the Prodcom manual (year-specific). There are four types of more aggregated Prodcom codes: Q-list, Z-list, T-list, and E-list. Q-list codes refer to aggregated versions of certain textiles listings that were supposed to be reported on a quarterly basis. They were dropped in 2005. The last three types of codes are more aggregated versions of the PC8 codes implemented to match more closely the CN8 classification. These issues need to be adequately addressed when concording domestic production data, see Section 3.3.

As can be seen in Table 2, the first six digits of the eight-digit Prodcom codes correspond to CPA6 products, or 6-digit products classified according to the Classification of Products by Activity. While PC8 codes are updated annually (with the exception of 1997, when no changes were implemented in the PC8 classification), CPA6 codes have been updated in

⁹These issues will all be taken into account when developing a consistent concordance over time. Concordance files will allow researchers to identify the different complications and to adequately address them when concording data.

1996, 2002 and 2008. Not all CPA6 codes are covered by the PC list, so the total number of CPA6 products is not directly comparable to the number of PC8 products. The first four digits of the PC8 code correspond to the NACE4 classification. The NACE4 classification was revised in 2002 and 2008. It should be noted that the NACE4 classification is not a classification of products, but rather a classification of economic activities that create products. The NACE4 classification is often used to classify European firms into sectors based on their (main) economic activities. Similar to the CPA6 products, some NACE4 activities are not covered by the Prodcom list.

Eurostat developed the Prodcom list with two principal goals in mind: (i) measure production in the EU member states on a comparable basis and (ii) enable a comparison between production and foreign trade statistics (Eurostat, 2006a). In light of the second aim, the Prodcom list has a close relationship with the Combined Nomenclature classification which is used to record foreign trade statistics.

The Prodom list (i.e. the list of PC8 products for which firms are required to report their domestic production activities) is revised on a yearly basis (cfr. Table 2). Changes include changes in the classification system (two codes in 1998 are replaced by one new code in 1999 for instance) and changes in coverage of the Prodom list. The choice of products included in the list generally depends on their economic importance; if a product is considered as important either in value or volume it is included as a distinct item; if it is (or becomes) less important it may be aggregated with other products. In general, the Prodom list covers production activities in Mining, Quarrying and Manufacturing: sections C, D and E of the NACE4 (Rev. 1.1) classification.

Products not included in the Prodcom list are products that, although they belong to sections C, D or E of NACE, are not considered as manufactured products (for instance waste, some agricultural products where the processing is not considered as manufacturing etc.). The Prodcom list also does not cover Fuel products. The coverage of the Prodcom list (and changes in coverage over time) has important implications for the translation of European trade and domestic production data into a common classification. We will come back to these issues below.

2.3 Combining data on international trade and domestic production

When concording international trade and domestic production data at the (firm-)product level, it is necessary to translate the CN8 product codes (used for international trade) and PC8 product codes (used for domestic production) into a common classification. Since

there are more CN8 codes than PC8 codes (i.e. the CN8 classification is less aggregated), the most straightforward correspondence maps the CN8 products into PC8 products.¹⁰ However, Prodcom codes are not used internationally (outside of Europe) which renders international comparisons difficult. Moreover, reported trade flows and tariffs, which are often used in international trade research, are often available only for HS6 product codes. The current paper therefore focuses on the translation of CN8 and PC8 products into six-digit Harmonized System (HS6) products. Since the first six digits of the CN8 codes correspond to the HS6 codes, EU concordance files between PC8 and CN8 can be adapted for this purpose.

As noted above, Eurostat developed the Prodcom list with the specific goal to enable comparison between production and foreign trade statistics. However, there are some important differences in coverage between the two classifications that need to be taken into account. Figure 1 illustrates the concordance of the PC8 and CN8 classifications into a common classification system (HS6+) for a single year.¹¹

A first issue that needs to be addressed when concording trade and production data is that not all CN8 products are covered by the Prodcom list. These CN8 codes therefore do not feature in the concordance files provided by Eurostat and need to be excluded from the international trade data. Since the Prodcom list changes every year, the list of CN8 codes that do not feature in the Prodcom list is also year-specific. CN8 codes not covered by the PC8 classification in a particular year can be identified by comparing the list of CN8 codes present in Eurostat's concordance files between CN8 and PC8 with the complete list of CN8 codes for that year. All codes present in the CN8 classification but not present in the concordance from CN8 to PC8 are CN8 codes that are not covered by the Prodcom list. These CN8 codes necessarily have to be excluded from the international trade data when merging domestic production and trade data at the product level. The remaining CN8 codes are all covered by the Prodcom List in that particular year and can hence be translated into the HS6+ classification, as can be seen in Figure 1.

Second, not all PC8 products are covered by the CN8 classification. This is the case for certain industrial services and for activities related to installation, maintenance, repair or processing activities. PC8 codes not covered by the CN8 classification in a particular year can be identified by comparing the PC8 classification in a particular year with the

 $^{^{10}\}mathrm{The}$ concordance between CN8 and PC8 codes is available at www.sites.google.com/site/ilkevanbeveren/Concordances

¹¹We use the "+" to refer to a classification system where some of the original (HS6) categories have been combined.

list of PC8 codes present in Eurostat's concordance files between CN8 and PC8. Prodcom codes that feature on the Prodcom list, but not in the concordance between CN8 and PC8 are either PC8 codes not covered by the CN8 classification (industrial services, waste products, ...), or the disaggregated versions of codes on the Z-, T-, Q- or E-list (aggregated PC8 products). These aggregated PC8 codes feature as disaggregated PC8 products in the Prodcom list, but they appear as aggregated (Z-, Q-, T- or E-list) codes in the concordance files between CN8 and PC8. Moreover, prior to 2005, the PC8 classification will feature optional codes (B- and N-list, cfr. Section 2.2). Like the disaggregated products, which have to be recoded into their aggregate counterparts, the optional codes need to be recoded into their mandatory counterparts prior to concording the data. After dropping industrial services and recoding optional and disaggregated PC8 products into their mandatory and aggregate counterparts, the PC8 classification can be concorded into the HS6 classification, as shown in Figure 1. We will come back to these issues in Section 3.4.

Finally, when combining data on international trade and domestic production over time, it is important to take into account changes in the coverage of the Prodcom list, differences in coverage between the PC8 and CN8 classification (industrial services, CN8 products not covered by Prodcom) and changes in both classification systems (CN8 and PC8) over time.

3 Concording data

3.1 Generic concordance procedure and terminology

The terminology used in this section largely follows the terminology of Pierce and Schott (2012a, henceforth PS). Specifically, to develop consistent classifications over time, we will refer to "effyr" as the year in which a particular change in a classification over time becomes effective. "Obsolete" refers to codes that are no longer used starting in the effective year (effyr) and "new" refers to codes that will be used starting in the effective year. When we refer to concordances between two classifications (e.g. PC8 to HS6), we do not refer to obsolete and new codes, but rather to source and destination codes.

Concordance files (between two years, or between two classifications) are always organized in a similar way. All codes that are subject to a change over time or that need to

¹²For Q-, T-, and E-aggregates, both the aggregate and disaggregate codes feature in the concordance files between PC8 and CN8, hence they do not have to be recoded. Z-codes only feature in their more aggregated versions in the concordance file however.

¹³Since optional codes were not consistently used in all countries, we provide PC8 classification files that only list mandatory and disaggregated PC8 codes. Optional codes can be recoded in the data using the online files provided prior to concording.

be translated into another classification are organized in "mappings". Mappings can be "simple" (one obsolete code is replaced by one new code, or one source code translates into one destination code) or "complex" (one or more obsolete codes are replaced by one or more new codes, or one or more source codes translate into one or more destination codes). We distinguish between three types of concordance procedures (though they are related):

- (i) Developing a consistent concordance between two years (e.g. between CN8 in 1995 and 1996) or between two classifications in a single year (e.g. between PC8 and HS6 in 2005);
- (ii) Developing a consistent concordance over time, e.g. CN8 classification for 1988 through 2010, this relies on the procedure in (i) plus an added procedure for the chains over time;
- (iii) Developing a consistent concordance between two classifications over time, e.g. PC8 and HS6 for 1995 through 2003. This relies on the procedures in (i) and (ii). When concording between two classifications, additional issues need to be taken into account related to coverage of both classifications to be discussed below when we deal with the separate concordances.

To develop a consistent concordance (between two years, or between two classifications), a unique identifier needs to be assigned to all mappings present in a concordance file. Specifically, if two obsolete codes in t-1 map into one new code in t, the two obsolete codes and the new code need to be "grouped" in a synthetic code (indicated by "setyr" in the terminology of PS). Similarly, if one source code maps into two destination codes in a particular year, the source code and the two destination codes will be assigned a unique "setyr". Assigning this unique identifier to complex mappings can be done by consecutively sorting the data on source (obsolete) and destination (new) codes. By consecutively sorting the data, it is possible to identify additional source (obsolete) and destination (new) codes that need to be grouped in the synthetic codes. In the Stata code provided, the procedure is executed using a sorting loop developed by PS. This is step 1 and 2 of the PS methodology. The final concordance file contains all source (obsolete) and destination (new) codes, as well as the synthetic code (setyr) that keeps track of codes that need to be grouped together.

To develop a consistent concordance over time (e.g. CN8 between 1988 and 2010), it is necessary to search for chains of code changes over time (PS terminology). Suppose that obsolete codes a and b in t-1 map into new code c in t. Code c in turn maps into codes d, e

and f in t+3. Codes a, b, c, d, e and f then need to be grouped in all years. In other words, consecutive changes in codes (new codes in some year become obsolete in a later year) need to be chained together in "family trees".

Depending on the beginning and end year of the concordance, the family trees can be different.¹⁴ Once a start and end year have been chosen, families can be identified. The Stata code identifies families by searching for updates of new codes in later years ("news" loop). Specifically, for each new code in a particular year, the algorithm searches for matching (identical) obsolete codes in later years. If a new code has become obsolete in later years, the two families of which the code is part are chained together. These family trees can then be merged back into the file with all obsolete and new mappings.¹⁵ The final concordance contains new and obsolete codes in all years, as well as the synthetic code (setyr) that keeps track of codes that need to be grouped together.

To develop a consistent concordance between two classifications over time (e.g. PC8 to HS6 between 1995 and 2003), it is necessary to keep track of changes over time in both the source and destination classification and to take the mappings between the two classifications into account. This can be done by merging the concordance files developed in (i) and (ii). Specifically, if the source classification is PC8 and the destination classification is HS6 for the period 1995-2003, step (i) will result in a list of PC8 codes in each year and their corresponding synthetic code (setyr-pc8) and in a list of HS6 codes in each year and their corresponding synthetic code (setyr-hs6). Step (ii) will result in a list of PC8

¹⁴Essentially, the concordance procedure will result in a different number of synthetic codes depending on the time period chosen. Since synthetic codes group original products that were recorded either more (shrinking family) or less (growing family) detailed in previous years, the number of synthetic codes and hence the level of detail of the final (synthetic) product classification will be reduced as the time period considered increases. For instance, expressed as a share of the average number of original yearly CN8 products, the average number of CN8+ products observed in the Belgian trade data drops from above 90% when a time period of five years is considered to below 75% when a time period of 18 years is considered. Similarly, for the Product classification, the average yearly share of PC8+ products observed in the Belgian data, compared to the original number of yearly PC8 codes drops from above 95% for a period of five years to below 90% for a period of 16 years. Intuitively, the final (synthetic) product classification becomes more aggregated as the time period considered increases. The interested reader is referred to the online appendix of this paper, which documents a sensitivity analysis for different time periods. Alternative concordance procedures, such as the one employed by Fuss and Zhu (2012), who concord Belgian production data to the product classification observed in the final year by assuming identical production shares over time, have the advantage that they would result in an identical number of (potential) products observed in the data (i.e. the number of PC8 products in the classification in the final year of the concordance), regardless of the time period chosen. However, the assumption of identical production shares is likely to become less realistic as time increases, leading to other interpretation and measurement issues.

¹⁵The news loop only retains codes that have undergone multiple changes over the time period considered, hence the family trees have to be combined with the original mappings to obtain a final unique identifier that keeps track of changes between two years and family trees over time.

¹⁶A correspondence for HS6 codes over time can easily be derived from the CN8 classification and cor-

and HS6 codes, as well as the synthetic code that keeps track of the complex mappings between the two classifications in 2003. By merging the files resulting from steps (i) and (ii) for the final year of the concordance (2003 in this case), a list of PC8 and HS6 codes is obtained with a corresponding synthetic code over time (setyr-pc8 and setyr-hs6), as well as a synthetic code (HS6+) that keeps track of the mappings between the two classifications. This file can be used to assign a unique (final) identifier to all codes that need to be grouped due to (i) a change of PC8 codes over time, (ii) a change of HS6 codes over time and (iii) a complex mapping between PC8 and HS6 in the final year of the concordance that takes differences in coverage in the PC8 and CN8 classification in a single year as well as over time into account.

In what follows, we will apply these concordance procedures to three specific situations. Section 3.2 will focus on concording international trade data over time, i.e. concording the CN8 classification into CN8+, while section 3.3 discusses the concordance of production data over time, i.e. concording the PC8 classification into PC8+. Section 3.4 focuses on the translation of trade (CN8) and production (PC8) data into a common classification (HS6+) for a single year. All concordance files and Stata implementation files can be downloaded from https://www.sites.google.com/site/ilkevanbeveren/Concordances. Concordances for some other applications, such as translating CN8 into PC8 for a single year and translating HS6 products over time, are also available online.

3.2 Combined Nomenclature (CN8) over time

As noted above, CN8 codes are subject to yearly revisions. Table 3 lists the yearly changes in the CN8 classification between 1988 and 2010.¹⁷ Changes in CN8 codes between t-1 and t can be simple (one obsolete CN8 code translates in a new CN8 code the next year) or complex (involving more than one obsolete and/or new code). Complex mappings can be one-many (one obsolete CN8 code maps into more than one new CN8 code), many-one (more than one obsolete CN8 code maps into one new CN8 code) or many-many (multiple obsolete codes translate into multiple new CN8 codes). If the mapping is many-one, the family of codes is shrinking. If the mapping is one-many, the family is growing.

The six-digit Harmonized System codes are also subject to changes over time, specifically in 1992, 1996, 2002 and 2007. While there are changes in the CN8 classification in every

respondence files, since the first 6 digits of the CN8 classification correspond to the HS6 classification. Concordance files are available at https://www.sites.google.com/site/ilkevanbeveren/Concordances.

¹⁷The CN8 classification was first implemented in 1988. The first year in which changes to the classification became effective (effyr) is therefore 1989.

pair of years, the largest numbers of revisions tend to occur in years when the HS6 codes are revised, in particular 1996, 2002 and 2007.

Depending on the start and end year chosen for the concordance, the concordance procedure will result in different synthetic groups (CN8+ codes). The Stata code provided allows for start and end years between 1988 and 2010. The final concordance file generated by the procedure provides a year-specific list of all existing CN8 products and their corresponding CN8+ code. This file can be merged with international trade data at the year-CN8 product level to translate CN8 products into the consistent CN8+ classification. After concording, the data need to be aggregated to the CN8+ level, yielding comparable product-level trade data for the time period chosen. Section 4.1 illustrates the importance of concording the data in order to avoid spurious entry and exit dynamics at the product-level.

3.3 Prodcom (PC8) over time

Similar to the CN8 classification, the Prodom list (PC8 classification) is also subject to yearly revisions. Table 4 lists the yearly changes in the PC8 classification between 1993 and 2010.¹⁹ Like the CN8 codes, changes in PC8 codes between t-1 and t can be simple (one obsolete PC8 code translates in a new PC8 code the next year) or complex (involving more than one obsolete and/or new code). However, unlike the CN8 classification, the PC8 classification has been subject to changes in coverage over time. This is illustrated in the last two columns of Table 4, where the number of codes that enter or exit the Prodom list are listed. If a PC8 product is listed on the Prodom list in some years, but not in others, it needs to be excluded from the data and concordance procedure in all years to avoid spurious dynamics. Specifically, if products that "enter" the list in a particular year are included in the concorded data, this would (erroneously) be interpreted as product entry, while products that "exit" the list would be interpreted as product exit. The concordance procedure allows for identification of these entry/exit codes as well as all the products that map into these codes (in earlier or later years), retaining only PC8 products that are on the PC list in every year.

Moreover, when concording Prodcom codes over time, it is important to take the existence of optional (B-list, N-list) and aggregated (Q-, T-, E-, Z-list) PC8 codes into account. Yearly concordance files provided by Eurostat typically include (some) optional and aggre-

 $^{^{18}}$ Concordance files for different time periods are also available in comma-separated format for non-Stata users.

¹⁹The Prodcom list was first implemented in 1993. The first year in which changes became effective is therefore 1994.

gated codes. For instance, between 2004 and 2005, all existing optional codes are listed as "exit" codes (no new code is provided, since the optional codes are no longer used after 2005) even though in the majority of cases the corresponding mandatory code still exists in 2005. Similarly, it can occur that a particular Z-heading (more aggregated PC8 code) disappears from the list, while the underlying (more disaggregated) PC8 product(s) is (are) still covered by the PC8 list.²⁰ Identifying these cases requires manual verification of the changes in PC8 codes over time (using the Prodcom structure files in adjacent years).²¹ We provide concordance files for the period 1993-2010 that have been adjusted to adequately deal with these issues (i.e. optional codes are replaced with mandatory codes and are only considered as changes in coverage if the mandatory code drops from the Prodcom list) and corrections are implemented for the aggregate codes if necessary. We further provide input files that allow for identification of optional codes in the data (different EU countries have implemented different sets of optional codes) and to reclassify them according to their mandatory PC8 product code if they feature in the data.

Changes in the PC8 classification vary over time, with no or very few changes in some years (1997, 1998, 2006). Between 2007 and 2008, the Prodcom list, CPA classification and NACE classification were completely revised, resulting in 4396 obsolete PC8 codes in 2008 and 3864 new codes. However, many of these changes were simple changes (3258).

Similar to the CN8 concordance, the PC8 concordance will result in different groups of PC8 products depending on the start and end year chosen. The Stata code provided allows for start and end years between 1993 and 2010. Different from the CN8 classification and concordance, coverage of the PC8+ classification will drop somewhat as more years are included in the concordance, due to the changes in coverage of the PC8 classification. ²² The final PC8 concordance file provides a year-specific list of all existing PC8 products, their corresponding PC8+ code and a dummy indicating whether the PC8 product should be dropped for consistency over time. ²³ This file can be merged with production data at the year-PC8 level to translate PC8 products into the consistent PC8+ classification. After concording and dropping all PC8 codes marked for exit, the data need to be aggregated to the PC8+ level, yielding comparable product-level production data for the time period

²⁰This can occur for instance if the underlying PC8 products have been replaced by a "regular" more aggregated PC8 product.

²¹Prodcom structure files provide detailed lists of aggregated and optional codes in each year. Depending on the year, these files can be available in PDF, Excel or Access format.

²²Cfr. Table 8 illustrates that the changes in coverage only account for a minor share of total sold production value in the Belgian data for the time period 1995-2003.

 $^{^{23}}$ Concordance files for different time periods are also available in comma-separated format for non-Stata users.

chosen. Section 4.2 illustrates the importance of concording the data in order to avoid spurious entry and exit dynamics at the product-level.

3.4 Concording CN8 and PC8 in a single year to HS6

Concording the trade and production data for a single year introduces several additional complications, due to differences in coverage between the PC8 and CN8 classifications. Specifically, (i) some PC8 codes are not covered by CN8 (industrial services²⁴, waste, cfr. supra), (ii) some PC8 codes are recorded as "aggregated" codes in the PC8-CN8 concordance, because there would otherwise be a large number of codes mapping into a single CN8 code.²⁵, (iii) not all CN8 codes feature in the Prodcom list, these include Fuel products for instance.

The concordance procedure starts out from the yearly CN8-PC8 concordance files provided by Eurostat. Since the first 6 digits of the CN8 classification are Harmonized System products (HS6), this concordance file can easily be modified to translate both the CN8 and PC8 classification into the HS6+ classification. Moreover, the PC8-CN8 concordance files allow for easy identification of differences in coverage between the two classifications.

Specifically, for any particular year, it is necessary to merge the (mandatory) PC8 codes²⁶ that feature in the Prodcom list with the PC8 codes that feature in the PC8-CN8 concordance. Codes that feature in the concordance but not in the Prodcom list are aggregated codes (Z-, T-, Q- and E-list). Codes that feature on the PC list, but not in the concordance files between CN8 and PC8 are the disaggregated equivalents of the aggregate-list codes or industrial services. After recoding the disaggregated PC8 products into their corresponding aggregates²⁷ and dropping the industrial services from the PC8 classification, the PC8 classification can be concorded to HS6.

Table 5 lists the number of PC8 products in 2005 as well as the corresponding number of HS6 and HS6+ products. If a mapping between the PC8 and HS6 classification is one-one

²⁴Examples of industrial services include: dyeing, finishing and printing of textiles, electronic books, coating of metals and book binding services.

²⁵These codes can be identified (manually) in the Prodcom manual. These are the so-called Z-, T-, Q- and E-aggregates. The Z-, T-, Q- and E-codes are the grouped PC8 codes, the underlying PC8 codes that map into these codes are the codes that are on the PC list (cfr. supra). While the Prodcom structure files are available in excel or access format in some years (in other years only PDF files are available), this information is not structured in an easily accessible way. Files for PC8-CN8 and PC8-HS6 are available for 2003 and 2005 at https://www.sites.google.com/site/ilkevanbeveren/Concordances. Aggregate codes are grouped in the structure files under headings 99.t, 99.z, 99.q and 99.e.

²⁶If optional codes feature in the data, they need to be recoded prior to concording the data.

²⁷Technically, recoding is only required for Z-list aggregates, as for the other aggregates both the aggregate and disaggregate codes feature in the yearly concordance files between PC8 and CN8.

(simple) or many-one (complex), the PC8 product(s) translate(s) into a single HS6 product. This is the case for the majority of PC8 products (3351 out of 4220), these products map into 2563 HS6 products. The remaining PC8 products map into more than one HS6 code, resulting in 606 HS6+ groupings. The table also illustrates the differences in coverage between the two classification. Out of a total of 5224 existing HS6 codes in 2005 (cfr. Table 1), 4784 HS6 products are covered by the Prodcom list. Similarly, out of 4489 mandatory PC8 on the Prodcom list for 2005, 4242 are covered by the CN8 classification and some of these 4242 codes are recorded in aggregate (Z-)codes, resulting in 4220 PC8 categories covered by the CN8 classification.

Since the first six digits of the CN8 products are identical to the Harmonized System (HS6) products, concording international trade data in a single year to HS6 requires a straightforward aggregation to the HS6+ level (where the HS6+ groupings are identical to the groups used to concord the production data), after dropping all CN8 products that are not covered by the PC8 classification in that particular year. To identify these CN8 products, the list of CN8 products for a particular year has to be merged with the concordance between CN8 and PC8 for that year to obtain a list of CN8 products that are not covered by the PC8 classification.

The concordance procedure and Stata code allows researchers to concord production (PC8) and trade (CN8) data into a common HS6+ classification that takes differences in coverage between the two classification systems adequately into account. The procedure yields two final concordance files, one for the translation of domestic production data into the HS6+ classification and a second file to concord CN8 products into the HS6+ classification. In addition, auxiliary files are provided that allow for the identification (and recoding if applicable) of optional and disaggregated PC8 codes as well as industrial services in the domestic production data. Once industrial services are dropped from the data and disaggregated/optional codes have been recoded, the domestic production data can then be translated into the HS6+ classification (by merging the data with the concordance using the PC8 product code).

The concordance file for the international trade data includes a dummy "notpc", which identifies CN8 products that are not covered by the Prodcom List. After merging this concordance file with the data, all CN8 products marked by this dummy should be dropped from the data. Note that this implies that some HS6 products are covered only partially by the merged production and trade data, if one or more CN8 codes that map into the HS6 code are not covered by the Prodcom list. Both the domestic production and international

trade data then need to be aggregated to the HS6+ level, after which both databases can be merged at the HS6+ level.

4 Applying concordances to Belgian trade and production data

To assess the importance of appropriate handling of product classification changes over time, we consider firm-level trade and production data from Belgium from 1995-2003.²⁸ Separately for export and production data, we focus on product adding and dropping by continuing firms and examine the fraction of production and exports at these firms that is accounted by new and dropped products. We show that the overall value of product adding and dropping is overstated in the unconcorded data and that years of particularly high product adding and dropping are largely artifacts of changes in the product classification systems.²⁹

4.1 Exports at continuing firms

Table 6 documents characteristics of aggregate Belgian exports and imports over the period as well as the number of products in both the unconcorded CN8 classification and in the concorded CN8+ codes.³⁰ Both exports and imports increase substantially over time while

³⁰Whether Belgian firms have to report their intra-EU trade transactions depends on the value of exports and imports reported in the VAT returns in the previous year. Between 1995 and 1997, all firms that imported

²⁸The choice of this interval avoids issues having to do with EU accession and includes only one relatively small change in the cutoff for recording intra-EU trade.

²⁹It should be noted that the amount of product adding and dropping in the concorded data is sensitive to the time period chosen. Since the concorded (synthetic) product classification becomes more aggregated (less detailed, lower number of products compared to the original classification system, cfr. Section 3), the amount of product adding and dropping (and value associated with it) is likely to become smaller in any given year as the time period considered for the concordance increases. We can illustrate this, specifically for the Belgian trade data, by comparing the amount of adding and dropping (products and value) for continuing firms in the concorded data for the year 1995, using the concordance for the years 1993-2010 (18 years) and alternatively using the concordance for the years 1995-1999 (only five years). Applying the concordance for the longer period (93-10) results in a decrease in the amount of product adding (dropping) of 24% (24%) compared to the unconcorded data, while the concordance for the shorter period (95-99) results in a decrease in the amount of product adding (dropping) of only 14% (14%) compared to the unconcorded data. In terms of value associated with product adding (dropping), the concordance for 93-10 is associated with a drop of 80% (81%) in export value, while the concordance for 95-99 results in a drop of 71% (78%) of export value in added (dropped) products, each time compared to the dynamics in the unconcorded data for 1995. Intuitively, as the number of CN8+ products relative to the number of original CN8 products goes down (i.e. as longer time periods are being considered in the concordance procedure), the dynamics in the sample due to product adding and dropping by continuing firms will be reduced. A similar exercise can be performed for the domestic production data, although in this case matters are complicated by the fact the coverage of the PC8 classification changes over time, resulting in different dynamics in the unconcorded data for a specific year, depending on the time period chosen. The interested reader is referred to the online appendix of this paper, which documents a sensitivity analysis for different time periods.

in contrast there are no strong trends over time in the number of product codes, either unconcorded or concorded. However the value of exports and imports affected by coding changes more than doubles. The share of export value in synthetic codes increases from 29.3 percent of total exports in 1995 to 37.0 percent in 2003 while the shares for imports are 27.2 and 32.7 respectively.

In Table 7 we examine the effect of product coding changes on the reported importance of product adding and dropping in Belgian exports. Bernard et al. (2009) emphasize the importance of within-firm margins of adjustment (both product and country) at continuing exporters in explaining aggregate annual changes in exports and imports for the US. In Belgium, as for other countries, the vast majority of exports are by continuing exporters. More than 98 percent of exports in any given year are handled by firms that will remain exporters in the following year; the net effect of firms entering and exiting export markets is relatively small.

Table 7 reports the number and value of added and dropped products at these continuing exporters for both concorded and unconcorded product codes. A large fraction of products, more than a third, are added and dropped in every year. Not surprisingly the value of exports in these added and dropped products is much smaller as average exports of new and dropped products are much smaller than for continued products. Looking at the unconcorded data, we find that on average 40.2 percent of products are new in any given year accounting for 7.7 percent of export value. For dropped products the corresponding numbers are 38.8 and 6.7 percent. However there is substantial variation across years with the share of value in both adding and dropping being much larger in 1995-1996 and 2001-2002 than in other pairs of years. Looking back to Table 3 we see that 1996 and 2002 were years of unusually large changes in the CN8 product classification system.

Columns 7-10 of Table 7 evaluate the importance of product adding and product dropping using the concorded data. Two important differences between the unconcorded and concorded results stand out. First, the number and value of added or dropped products are smaller in the concorded data, both in levels and as a percentage of the totals at the continuing exporters. Changes in the export product mix are still substantial, more than a third of the continuing exporters' product mix is churned every year. However, using consistent product definitions over time, we find that share of export value in added (dropped)

⁽exported) more than $\leq 104,115$ were required to report their import (export) transactions. Between 1998 and 2003, all firms exporting (importing) more than $\leq 250,000$ were required to report their export (import) transactions. We use data for the population of exporters. All transactions with transfer of ownership are included, with the exception of trade recorded in residual product categories specific to Belgium (accounting for 4.7 percent of total export value and 1.4 percent of total import value).

products is less than half as large, only 3.5 (2.8) percent.

Second, the year-to-year variation is much lower in the concorded data. Years with large number of coding changes no longer stand out as having unusually large values of exports in added and dropped products. Both the number of churned products and their associated export value is relatively stable across years. These results confirm that correctly classifying products over time can result in substantial differences in the magnitudes of export activity and help reduce the possibility of spurious annual fluctuations in product adding and dropping.

4.2 Production at continuing firms

We now turn to the analysis of product adding and dropping in Belgian firm-level production data. Using US manufacturing census data, Bernard et al. (2010) report important contributions of new and dropped products in total output for continuing firms over five-year intervals. Table 8 documents characteristics of produced sales at continuing firms in the Belgian Products survey from 1995-2003 as well as the number of products in both the unconcorded PC8 classification and in the concorded PC8+ codes.³¹ Produced sales increase substantially over time (30.0 percent) while in contrast there is a modest decline over time in the number of product codes, either unconcorded or concorded.

Using the EU production data over time involves an additional complication. The coverage of products changes over time, i.e. the underlying production activity is not necessarily present in all years. Columns 7-10 examine the importance of the changes in coverage from 1995-2003. The magnitudes are relatively modest, annually 0.5 percent of produced sales are in codes that are affected by changing coverage. However, coding changes that are distinct from coverage changes are more important, affecting more than 10 percent of product and 9 percent of produced sales on average. Looking across years we find that 2003 is a substantial outlier in terms of the value of production in synthetic codes. As with the export data, a quick look at the production codes changes in Table 4 shows that 2003 was a year of unusually large changes to the product classification system.

³¹Whether or not Belgian firms have to file a Prodcom declaration is based on their employment levels as tabulated from the firm-level Social Security records of the previous years, their primary activity (in or outside manufacturing) and their turnover in the previous year. In general, manufacturing firms with more than ten employees and non-manufacturing firms (with some manufacturing activity) employing more than 20 people had to file a monthly Prodcom declaration in Belgium between 1995 and 2003. The Prodcom survey records the value of production sold, which does not necessarily correspond with the actual value produced in a particular period. All transactions with positive sold production value are included, with the exception of sold production value recorded in unknown PC8 products (these are most likely coding errors, they account for 0.06% of total value of production sold in the population).

We examine the effect of product coding changes on the reported importance of product adding and dropping in Belgian manufacturing firms in Table 9. Product churning is less extensive in the production data for continuing firms relative to the trade data. The unconcorded data show that on average 8.8 percent of products are new and 9.6 percent of products are dropped across years. Not surprisingly, as in the export data, the value of these added and dropped products is much smaller, 2.9 and 2.2 percent respectively. As with the export data, there is substantial variation across years with both adding and dropping being more important in years with major changes in the product classifications, see Table 4.

Looking at the concorded data, we find a similar story to the export findings. Both the number and values of added and dropped products is reduced and the year-to-year variation is much lower in the concorded data. Years with large number of coding changes no longer stand out as having a large value of output in added and dropped products.

5 Conclusion

This paper develops a set of procedures to produce consistent product-level classification codes (either over time and/or between trade and production data) for the EU. Based on Pierce and Schott (2012a,b), we develop a set of concordance procedures, we develop a set of Stata do-files to run these procedures and we make the associated files and final concordances available to researchers who wish to use and compare EU product-level data over time and across classifications. The programs allow the product codes to be tailored to the specific countries and year(s) of interest.

We also document the substantial variation that exists in EU product classification systems over time. For trade data, the set of products that is covered is constant over time but the number of individual product codes varies from year to year; more than 10 percent of products may see code changes in a given year. For EU production data, the changes in the classification system are equally pervasive (almost every code changes in 2008) and there is the additional complication that the range of goods covered by the system changes over time.

To evaluate the economic significance of these changes, we examine Belgian export and production data from 1995-2003, both prior to and after concording the data into a consistent classification system over time. Comparing the unconcorded and concorded data shows that the degree of product adding and dropping by firms that continue from one year to the next falls substantially when a consistent product classification is employed

(i.e. after concording the data). In particular, years with unusually large changes in the classifications systems are associated with spuriously high amounts of product churning by firms in the unconcorded data, pointing to the importance of developing a consistent product classification over time.

References

- Alvarez, Luis J., Emmanuel Dhyne, Marco M. Hoeberichts, Claudia Kwapil, Herve Le Bihan, Patrick Lunnemann, Fernando Martins, Roberto Sabbatini, Harald Stahl, Philip Vermeulen, and Jouko Vilmunen, "Sticky Prices in the Euro Area: A Summary of New Micro Evidence," Journal of the European Economic Association, April-May 2006, 4 (2-3). 1
- Bernard, Andrew B., Emily J. Blanchard, Ilke Van Beveren, and Hylke Vandenbussche, "Carry-Along Trade," NBER Working Paper Series, 2012, 18246. 1
- _ , J. Bradford Jensen, Stephen J. Redding, and Peter K. Schott, "The Margins of US Trade," American Economic Review, May 2009, 99 (2), 487–93. 18
- _ , Stephen J. Redding, and Peter K. Schott, "Multiple-Product Firms and Product Switching," American Economic Review, March 2010, 100 (1), 70–97. 19
- Colantone, Italo and Rosario Crinò, "New imported inputs, new domestic products," EFIGE Working Paper Series, 2011, 34. 1
- Eurostat, Prodcom: Statistics on the production of manufactured goods, Luxembourg: Eurostat, 2006a. 5, 7
- Fuss, Cathérine and Linke Zhu, "Comparative advantage, multi-product firms and trade liberalisation: An empirical test," *National Bank of Belgium Working Paper Series*, 2012, 219. 1, 11
- Méjean, Isabel Jeanne and Cyrille Schwellnus, "Price Convergence in the European Union: Within Firms or Composition of Firms?," *Journal of International Economics*, 2006, 78 (1), 1–10. 1
- Pierce, Justin R. and Peter K. Schott, "Concording US Harmonized System Categories over Time," *Journal of Official Statistics*, 2012a, 28 (1), 53–68. 1, 2, 9, 20
- and _ , "A concordance between ten-digit US Harmonized System codes and SIC/NAICS product classes and industries," Journal of Economic and Social Measurment, 2012b, 37 (1-2), 61-96. 1, 20

International trade Common classification Domestic production PC8 classification **CN8** classification system: HS6+ CN8 products not PC8 products not covered by Prodcom covered by CN8 (industrial services) List (e.g. Fuel) PC8 products HS6+ CN8 products covered Covered by CN8 and (mandatory + by Prodcom List PC8 aggregated)

Translate

Optional and disaggregated PC8 products

Figure 1: Concording trade and production data in a single year

Table 1: Structure of the Combined Nomenclature (CN8) Classification

| Combine 8-a | Harmonized System 6- digit (HS6) | |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| Year | # of CN8 products | 7 |
| 1988 | 9506 | |
| 1989 | 9579 | HS6 1988 |
| 1990 | 9695 | (# HS6 = 5019) |
| 1991 | 9743 | |
| 1992 | 9837 | |
| 1993 | 9906 | HS6 1992 |
| 1994 | 10108 | (# HS6 = 5018) |
| 1995 | 10448 | |
| 1996 | 10495 | |
| 1997 | 10606 | |
| 1998 | 10587 | HS6 1996 |
| 1999 | 10428 | (# HS6 = 5113) |
| 2000 | 10314 | |
| 2001 | 10274 | |
| 2002 | 10400 | |
| 2003 | 10404 | HS6 2002 |
| 2004 | 10174 | (# HS6 = 5224) |
| 2005 | 10096 | (# ПЗО — 3224) |
| 2006 | 9841 | |
| 2007 | 9720 | |
| 2008 | 9699 | HS6 2007 |
| 2009 | 9569 | (# HS6 = 5051) |
| 2010 | 9443 | |

All classification files are obtained from the Eurostat Ramon server, with the exception of the files for 1988-1994, which were provided by Eurostat on request.

Table 2: Structure of the Prodcom (PC8) Classification

| Pi | rodcom 8-digit | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Year | # of mandatory PC8 products | # of optional PC8 products (B- list + N-list) | Classification of Products by Activity (CPA) 6-digit | Classification of economic activities (NACE) |
| 1993 1994 1995 | 4802 4830 4840 | 1225 1183 1220 | CPA6 1993 (# CPA6 = 2303) | |
| 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 | 4807 4807 4809 4839 4832 | 1216 1216 1212 1185 854 | CPA6 1996 (# CPA6 = 2303) | NACE4 Rev. 1 (since 1990) (# NACE4 = 503) |
| 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 | 4793 4764 4693 4683 4489 4487 4418 | 814 787 787 788 0 0 | CPA6 2002 (# CPA6 = 2608) | NACE4 Rev. 1.1. (# NACE4 = 514) |
| 2008 2009 2010 | 3864 3851 3832 | 0 0 0 | CPA6 2008 (# CPA6 = 3142) | NACE4 Rev. 2 (# NACE4 = 615) |

All classification files are obtained from the Eurostat Ramon server. The number of CPA6 codes and NACE4 codes is not directly comparable to the number of PC8 products, since not all CPA6 products and NACE4 industries are covered by the Prodcom List, i.e. coverage of the PC8 classification is more limited than the coverage of the CPA6 and NACE4 classification. Optional codes provide a more detailed breakdown of (some) mandatory codes. B-list codes were implemented at the request of the member states. B-list codes were gradually phased out, both B-list and N-list codes have been dropped since 2005.

Table 3: Changes in the Combined Nomenclature Classification over time

| Effective year | Number of obsolete codes | Number of new codes | Number of families (including simple changes) | Number of simple (one-one) changes |
|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 1989 | 76 | 149 | 58 | 1 |
| 1990 | 122 | 238 | 111 | 11 |
| 1991 | 85 | 133 | 64 | 8 |
| 1992 | 128 | 222 | 85 | 2 |
| 1993 | 276 | 345 | 171 | 14 |
| 1994 | 233 | 435 | 197 | 11 |
| 1995 | 531 | 871 | 383 | 31 |
| 1996 | 1257 | 1304 | 792 | 435 |
| 1997 | 170 | 281 | 130 | 0 |
| 1998 | 334 | 315 | 175 | 0 |
| 1999 | 303 | 144 | 132 | 3 |
| 2000 | 223 | 109 | 96 | 0 |
| 2001 | 90 | 50 | 42 | 1 |
| 2002 | 847 | 973 | 504 | 311 |
| 2003 | 16 | 20 | 12 | 0 |
| 2004 | 503 | 273 | 211 | 7 |
| 2005 | 186 | 108 | 95 | 5 |
| 2006 | 743 | 489 | 281 | 11 |
| 2007 | 1202 | 1080 | 630 | 387 |
| 2008 | 96 | 75 | 54 | 2 |
| 2009 | 257 | 127 | 111 | 0 |
| 2010 | 381 | 255 | 151 | 1 |

The table shows the number of obsolete and new codes in each year, as well as the number of families (shrinking, growing or simple) and the number of simple changes (one-one). The effective year refers to the year in which the change becomes effective. HS6 codes have been revised in 1992, 1996, 2002 and 2007. The changes in the CN8 classification over time are obtained from the Eurostat Ramon server.

Table 4: Changes in the Prodcom Classification over time

| Effective year | Number of obsolete codes | Number of new codes | Number of families (including simple changes) | Number of simple (one- one) changes | Number of codes that are dropped (exit) | Number of codes that are new on the list (entry) |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| 1994 | 32 | 46 | 29 | 17 | 4 | 3 |
| 1995 | 33 | 52 | 15 | 12 | 19 | 29 |
| 1996 | 118 | 80 | 54 | 12 | 14 | 15 |
| 1997 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1998 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 1999 | 68 | 90 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 60 |
| 2000 | 16 | 12 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 2001 | 113 | 76 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2002 | 82 | 54 | 30 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 2003 | 362 | 294 | 214 | 189 | 1 | 12 |
| 2004 | 35 | 24 | 17 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2005 | 303 | 105 | 96 | 7 | 65 | 1 |
| 2006 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2007 | 184 | 131 | 76 | 13 | 3 | 9 |
| 2008 | 4396 | 3864 | 3651 | 3258 | 52 | 19 |
| 2009 | 28 | 15 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2010 | 45 | 26 | 23 | 4 | 0 | 0 |

The table shows the number of obsolete and new codes in each year, as well as the number of families (shrinking, growing, simple, entry or exit) and the number of simple changes (one-one). The effective year refers to the year in which the change became effective. Some PC8 codes are not covered throughout the whole sample period, resulting in new codes (entry) appearing on the list and old codes (exit) disappearing from the list. All changes in the PC8 classification over time are obtained from the Eurostat Ramon server, optional codes have been removed (or replaced by their mandatory aggregates) to ensure comparability over time and across countries.

Table 5: Prodcom (PC8) to Harmonized System (HS6) - 2005

| | Number of PC8 | Number of HS6 | Number of HS6+ |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| Type of match PC-HS | products | products | products |
| Simple (one-one) PC8-HS6 | 2140 | 2140 | 2140 |
| Many-one PC8-HS6 | 1211 | 423 | 423 |
| One-many PC8-HS6 | 495 | 1750 | 606 |
| Many-many PC8-HS6 | 374 | 471 | 000 |
| Total | 4220 | 4784 | 3169 |

Overall, there are 5224 HS6 products in 2005 (HS2002 classification). However, only 4784 HS6 products are covered by the Prodcom List in 2005. Similarly, there are 4489 (mandatory) PC8 products on the Prodcom List for 2005, 4242 of these are covered by the CN8 concordance. Some PC8 products are aggregated into a Z- or T-aggregate for the purpose of concording them to the CN8 classification, resulting in 4220 PC8 categories in the correspondence file between PC8 and CN8.

Table 6: Belgian Trade Data, 1995-2003

| | Panel A: Exports | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Unconcor | rded data | Concorded data | | | | | | | | | |
| Year | Value of exports (€mio) | # Codes | Value in synthetic codes (€mio) | % of value in synthetic codes | # Codes replaced by synthetic codes | #Final CN8+ codes (original + sets) | | | | | | |
| 1995 | 103,962 | 9,541 | 30,454 | 29.3 | 2,520 | 8,426 | | | | | | |
| 1996 | 112,554 | 9,723 | 32,992 | 29.3 | 2,616 | 8,542 | | | | | | |
| 1997 | 129,891 | 9,802 | 38,339 | 29.5 | 2,686 | 8,540 | | | | | | |
| 1998 | 133,869 | 9,600 | 39,515 | 29.5 | 2,599 | 8,408 | | | | | | |
| 1999 | 141,411 | 9,453 | 42,918 | 30.4 | 2,476 | 8,378 | | | | | | |
| 2000 | 163,421 | 9,387 | 54,994 | 33.7 | 2,372 | 8,420 | | | | | | |
| 2001 | 169,210 | 9,347 | 57,458 | 34.0 | 2,335 | 8,420 | | | | | | |
| 2002 | 180,678 | 9,456 | 66,962 | 37.1 | 2,437 | 8,435 | | | | | | |
| 2003 | 182,158 | 9,470 | 67,419 | 37.0 | 2,431 | 8,451 | | | | | | |
| Average | 146,351 | 9,531 | 47,895 | 32.2 | 2,497 | 8,447 | | | | | | |

Panel B: Imports

| | Unconcor | rded data | | Concor | ded data | |
|---------|----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | | | | # Codes | #Final CN8+ |
| | Value of | | Value in | % of value in | replaced by | codes |
| | imports | | synthetic | synthetic | synthetic | (originals + |
| Year | (€mio) | # Codes | codes (€mio) | codes | codes | sets) |
| 1995 | 94,719 | 10,098 | 25,751 | 27.2 | 2,653 | 8,920 |
| 1996 | 104,670 | 10,144 | 29,432 | 28.1 | 2,708 | 8,922 |
| 1997 | 122,535 | 10,215 | 33,718 | 27.5 | 2,802 | 8,895 |
| 1998 | 124,751 | 10,183 | 35,281 | 28.3 | 2,781 | 8,890 |
| 1999 | 132,332 | 10,012 | 37,888 | 28.6 | 2,615 | 8,866 |
| 2000 | 158,223 | 9,911 | 48,346 | 30.6 | 2,504 | 8,880 |
| 2001 | 163,225 | 9,873 | 50,940 | 31.2 | 2,469 | 8,875 |
| 2002 | 170,976 | 9,976 | 58,790 | 34.4 | 2,567 | 8,876 |
| 2003 | 170,727 | 9,968 | 55,751 | 32.7 | 2,574 | 8,861 |
| Average | 138,018 | 10,042 | 41,766 | 29.8 | 2,630 | 8,887 |

Note: The Table shows the value of exports (panel A) and imports (panel B), as well as the number of CN8 and CN8+ products for the population of Belgian exporters (in panel A) or importers (panel B) between 1995 and 2003. All transactions with transfer of ownership are included, with the exception of trade recorded in residual product categories specific to Belgium (4.7% of total export value and 1.4% of total import value). Column 2-3 show the value of trade in the data and the number of unconcorded CN8 products. Columns 4-7 report the value of trade grouped in synthetic (CN8+) codes, as well as the total number of synthetic codes and the total number of products in the final CN8+ classification.

Table 7: Added and Dropped Products at Continuing Belgian Exporters, 1995-2003

| | | | Without Co | oncordance | | With Concordance | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | | # Added | Value of | #Dropped | Value of | # Added | Value of | #Dropped | Value of | |
| | # Continuing | products in | exports in | products in | exports in | products in | exports in | products in | exports in | |
| | firms | t+1 by | added | t+1 by | dropped | t+1 by | added | t+1 by | dropped | |
| | (exports in t | continuing | products | continuing | products (t) | continuing | products | continuing | products (t) | |
| Year | <i>and t+1)</i> | firms | (t+1) (€mio) | firms | (€mio) | firms | (<i>t</i> +1) (€ <i>mio</i>) | firms | (€mio) | |
| 1995-1996 | 24,202 | 125,889 | 15,381 | 113,906 | 14,056 | 105,383 | 4,126 | 95,599 | 3,030 | |
| | | 49.3% | 14.5% | 44.6% | 13.3% | 43.7% | 3.9% | 39.6% | 2.9% | |
| 1996-1997 | 24,518 | 113,200 | 11,064 | 107,915 | 8,755 | 101,645 | 5,084 | 97,314 | 3,279 | |
| | | 42.1% | 9.4% | 40.1% | 7.4% | 40.2% | 4.3% | 38.5% | 2.8% | |
| 1997-1998 | 20,336 | 108,741 | 9,261 | 106,620 | 8,175 | 95,856 | 5,049 | 91,807 | 4,199 | |
| | | 45.3% | 7.2% | 44.4% | 6.4% | 42.3% | 3.9% | 40.5% | 3.3% | |
| 1998-1999 | 19,543 | 94,968 | 6,971 | 93,652 | 5,778 | 86,079 | 4,539 | 84,597 | 3,536 | |
| | | 38.7% | 5.2% | 38.2% | 4.3% | 37.0% | 3.4% | 36.4% | 2.6% | |
| 1999-2000 | 19,581 | 94,130 | 8,024 | 93,872 | 6,910 | 85,906 | 4,555 | 83,490 | 4,314 | |
| | | 37.9% | 5.4% | 37.8% | 4.7% | 36.3% | 3.1% | 35.3% | 2.9% | |
| 2000-2001 | 20,319 | 92,935 | 5,112 | 87,557 | 4,269 | 86,989 | 4,608 | 81,847 | 3,671 | |
| | | 36.5% | 3.1% | 34.4% | 2.6% | 35.7% | 2.8% | 33.6% | 2.2% | |
| 2001-2002 | 20,215 | 98,130 | 22,912 | 95,989 | 20,461 | 85,041 | 5,923 | 83,709 | 5,539 | |
| | | 37.6% | 13.3% | 36.8% | 11.9% | 34.1% | 3.4% | 33.6% | 3.2% | |
| 2002-2003 | 19,457 | 89,598 | 5,924 | 89,037 | 5,636 | 83,692 | 5,198 | 83,026 | 4,895 | |
| | | 33.8% | 3.3% | 33.6% | 3.2% | 33.1% | 2.9% | 32.8% | 2.8% | |
| Average | 21,021 | 102,199 | 10,581 | 98,569 | 9,255 | 91,324 | 4,885 | 87,674 | 4,058 | |
| | | 40.2% | 7.7% | 38.8% | 6.7% | 37.8% | 3.5% | 36.3% | 2.8% | |

Note: The Table shows the number of continuing Belgian exporters across pairs of years as well as the number of added and dropped firm-products and the value of exports in added and dropped products. Columns 3-6 use the CN8 product classification with no concordance for product code changes across years, while columns 7-10 use a CN8+ classification that uses consistent product codes across all years from 1995-2003. For added (dropped) products, the italicized numbers indicate the percentage of average continuing firm export products or value in year t+1 and year t that is accounted for by added (dropped) products.

Table 8: Belgian Production Data, 1995-2003

| | | | | Valu | e of Produced | l Sales | | | |
|---------|----------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| | Unconcor | oncorded data Concorded data | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Value in | | | |
| | | | | | | products that | % of value in | # PC8 | |
| | | | | | | need to be | products that | products that | |
| | Value of | | | | # Codes | dropped due | need to be | have to be | # Final PC8+ |
| | produced | | Value in | % of value in | replaced by | to changes in | dropped due | dropped due | codes |
| | sales | | synthetic | synthetic | synthetic | coverage | to changes in | to changes in | (original + |
| Year | (€mio) | # Codes | codes (€mio) | codes | codes | (€mio) | coverage | coverage | sets) |
| 1995 | 91,676 | 3,116 | 8,311 | 9.1 | 352 | 469.4 | 0.51 | 13 | 2,990 |
| 1996 | 91,639 | 3,014 | 7,879 | 8.6 | 331 | 442.1 | 0.48 | 17 | 2,898 |
| 1997 | 100,385 | 2,984 | 8,543 | 8.5 | 317 | 472.2 | 0.47 | 15 | 2,876 |
| 1998 | 103,528 | 2,941 | 8,970 | 8.7 | 312 | 370.9 | 0.36 | 15 | 2,833 |
| 1999 | 102,078 | 2,955 | 8,882 | 8.7 | 305 | 381.9 | 0.37 | 17 | 2,857 |
| 2000 | 115,361 | 2,928 | 10,506 | 9.1 | 297 | 427.4 | 0.37 | 15 | 2,833 |
| 2001 | 116,544 | 2,903 | 10,405 | 8.9 | 275 | 440.1 | 0.38 | 17 | 2,825 |
| 2002 | 114,106 | 2,879 | 10,394 | 9.1 | 265 | 417.3 | 0.37 | 19 | 2,808 |
| 2003 | 118,903 | 2,896 | 15,797 | 13.3 | 281 | 462.7 | 0.39 | 26 | 2,808 |
| Average | 106,025 | 2,957 | 9,965 | 9.3 | 304 | 431.5 | 0.41 | 17 | 2,859 |

Note: The Table shows the value of sold production, as well as the number of PC8 and PC8+ products for the population of Belgian firms that have participated in the Prodom survey between 1995 and 2003. All transactions with positive sold production value are included, with the exception of sold production value recorded in unknown PC8 products (coding errors, 0.1% of total production value in the population). The first two columns show the value of produced sales in the data and the number of unconcorded PC8 products. Columns 3-7 report the value of produced sales grouped in synthetic (PC8+) codes, as well as the total number of synthetic codes and the total number of products in the final PC8+ classification.

Table 9: Added and Dropped Products at Continuing Belgian Manufacturers, 1995-2003

| | | | Without Co | oncordance | _ | | With Con | cordance | |
|-----------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | # Continuing | # Added | | # Dropped | | # Added | | # Dropped | |
| | firms | products in | Value in | products in | Value in | products in | Value in | products in | Value in |
| | (positive | t+1 by | added | t+1 by | dropped | t+1 by | added | t+1 by | dropped |
| | production | continuing | products | continuing | products (t) | continuing | products | continuing | products (t) |
| | <i>in t and t+1)</i> | firms | (t+1) (€mio) | firms | (ϵmio) | firms | (<i>t</i> +1) (€ <i>mio</i>) | firms | (ϵmio) |
| 1995-1996 | 5,708 | 1,980 | 1,419 | 2,316 | 1,052 | 1,882 | 1,218 | 2,221 | 837 |
| | | 11.8% | 1.6% | 13.8% | 1.2% | 11.3% | 1.4% | 13.3% | 0.9% |
| 1996-1997 | 5,682 | 1,402 | 2,984 | 1,729 | 1,650 | 1,379 | 2,981 | 1,699 | 1,650 |
| | | 8.7% | 3.2% | 10.7% | 1.8% | 8.6% | 3.2% | 10.6% | 1.8% |
| 1997-1998 | 5,917 | 981 | 860 | 1,280 | 1,230 | 964 | 860 | 1,255 | 1,227 |
| | | 6.0% | 0.9% | 7.9% | 1.2% | 6.0% | 0.9% | 7.8% | 1.2% |
| 1998-1999 | 5.990 | 1,557 | 2,202 | 1.473 | 2.075 | 1,450 | 1.940 | 1,356 | 1,833 |
| | -, | 9.6% | 2.2% | 9.1% | 2.1% | 9.0% | 1.9% | 8.4% | 1.8% |
| 1999-2000 | 6,635 | 1,092 | 2,508 | 1,261 | 1,522 | 1,044 | 1,468 | 1,207 | 982 |
| | | 6.3% | 2.4% | 7.3% | 1.4% | 6.1% | 1.4% | 7.0% | 0.9% |
| 2000-2001 | 6,471 | 1,733 | 5,278 | 1,754 | 4,990 | 1,316 | 1,866 | 1,291 | 2,006 |
| | | 10.3% | 4.7% | 10.4% | 4.4% | 7.8% | 1.7% | 7.7% | 1.8% |
| 2001-2002 | 6,493 | 1,204 | 1,736 | 1,177 | 1,441 | 1,095 | 863 | 1,058 | 587 |
| | | 7.1% | 1.5% | 6.9% | 1.3% | 6.5% | 0.8% | 6.2% | 0.5% |
| 2002-2003 | 6,328 | 1,776 | 7,407 | 1,764 | 4,771 | 1,218 | 4,298 | 1,233 | 1,781 |
| | | 10.7% | 6.6% | 10.6% | 4.3% | 7.3% | 3.8% | 7.4% | 1.6% |
| Average | 6,153 | 1,466 | 3,049 | 1,594 | 2,341 | 1,294 | 1,937 | 1,415 | 1,363 |
| | | 8.8% | 2.9% | 9.6% | 2.2% | 7.8% | 1.9% | 8.6% | 1.3% |

Note: The Table shows the number of continuing Belgian producers across pairs of years as well as the number of added and dropped firm-products and the value of produced sales in the added and dropped products. Observations that need to be dropped for consistency over time (changes in PC8 coverage) are dropped in both the unconcorded and concorded samples. Columns 3-6 use the PC8 product classification with no concordance for product code changes across years, while columns 7-10 use a PC8+ classification that uses consistent product codes across all years from 1995-2003. For added (dropped) products, the italicized numbers indicate the percentage of average continuing firm produced products or value in year t+1 and year t that is accounted by added (dropped) products.

NATIONAL BANK OF BELGIUM - WORKING PAPERS SERIES

The Working Papers are available on the website of the Bank: http://www.nbb.be.

- 184. "Discriminatory fees, coordination and investment in shared ATM networks" by S. Ferrari, *Research series*, January 2010.
- 185. "Self-fulfilling liquidity dry-ups", by F. Malherbe, Research series, March 2010.
- 186. "The development of monetary policy in the 20th century some reflections", by O. Issing, *Research series*, April 2010.
- 187. "Getting rid of Keynes? A survey of the history of macroeconomics from Keynes to Lucas and beyond", by M. De Vroey, Research series, April 2010.
- 188. "A century of macroeconomic and monetary thought at the National Bank of Belgium", by I. Maes, Research series, April 2010.
- 189. "Inter-industry wage differentials in EU countries: What do cross-country time-varying data add to the picture?", by Ph. Du Caju, G. Kátay, A. Lamo, D. Nicolitsas and S. Poelhekke, *Research series*, April 2010.
- 190. "What determines euro area bank CDS spreads?", by J. Annaert, M. De Ceuster, P. Van Roy and C. Vespro, *Research series*, May 2010.
- 191. "The incidence of nominal and real wage rigidity: An individual-based sectoral approach", by J. Messina, Ph. Du Caju, C. F. Duarte, N. L. Hansen, M. Izquierdo, *Research series*, June 2010.
- 192. "Economic importance of the Belgian ports: Flemish maritime ports, Liège port complex and the port of Brussels Report 2008", by C. Mathys, *Document series*, July 2010.
- 193. "Wages, labor or prices: how do firms react to shocks?", by E. Dhyne and M. Druant, *Research series*, July 2010.
- 194. "Trade with China and skill upgrading: Evidence from Belgian firm level data", by G. Mion, H. Vandenbussche, and L. Zhu, *Research series*, September 2010.
- 195. "Trade crisis? What trade crisis?", by K. Behrens, G. Corcos and G. Mion, Research series, September 2010.
- 196. "Trade and the global recession", by J. Eaton, S. Kortum, B. Neiman and J. Romalis, *Research series*, October 2010.
- 197. "Internationalization strategy and performance of small and medium sized enterprises", by J. Onkelinx and L. Sleuwaegen, *Research series*, October 2010.
- 198. "The internationalization process of firms: From exports to FDI?", by P. Conconi, A. Sapir and M. Zanardi, *Research series*, October 2010.
- 199. "Intermediaries in international trade: Direct versus indirect modes of export", by A. B. Bernard, M. Grazzi and C. Tomasi, *Research series*, October 2010.
- 200. "Trade in services: IT and task content", by A. Ariu and G. Mion, Research series, October 2010.
- 201. "The productivity and export spillovers of the internationalisation behaviour of Belgian firms", by M. Dumont, B. Merlevede, C. Piette and G. Rayp, Research series, October 2010.
- 202. "Market size, competition, and the product mix of exporters", by T. Mayer, M. J. Melitz and G. I. P. Ottaviano, *Research series*, October 2010.
- 203. "Multi-product exporters, carry-along trade and the margins of trade", by A. B. Bernard, I. Van Beveren and H. Vandenbussche, *Research series*, October 2010.
- 204. "Can Belgian firms cope with the Chinese dragon and the Asian tigers? The export performance of multi-product firms on foreign markets" by F. Abraham and J. Van Hove, *Research series*, October 2010.
- 205. "Immigration, offshoring and American jobs", by G. I. P. Ottaviano, G. Peri and G. C. Wright, *Research series*, October 2010.
- 206. "The effects of internationalisation on domestic labour demand by skills: Firm-level evidence for Belgium", by L. Cuyvers, E. Dhyne, and R. Soeng, *Research series*, October 2010.
- 207. "Labour demand adjustment: Does foreign ownership matter?", by E. Dhyne, C. Fuss and C. Mathieu, *Research series*, October 2010.
- 208. "The Taylor principle and (in-)determinacy in a New Keynesian model with hiring frictions and skill loss", by A. Rannenberg, *Research series*, November 2010.
- 209. "Wage and employment effects of a wage norm: The Polish transition experience" by A. de Crombrugghe and G. de Walque, *Research series*, February 2011.
- 210. "Estimating monetary policy reaction functions: A discrete choice approach" by J. Boeckx, Research series, February 2011.
- 211. "Firm entry, inflation and the monetary transmission mechanism" by V. Lewis and C. Poilly, Research series, February 2011.
- 212. "The link between mobile telephony arrears and credit arrears" by H. De Doncker, *Document series*, March 2011.

- 213. "Development of a financial health indicator based on companies' annual accounts", by D. Vivet, *Document series*, April 2011.
- 214. "Wage structure effects of international trade: Evidence from a small open economy", by Ph. Du Caju, F. Rycx and I. Tojerow, *Research series*, April 2011.
- 215. "Economic importance of the Belgian ports: Flemish maritime ports, Liège port complex and the port of Brussels Report 2009", by C. Mathys, *Document series*, June 2011.
- 216. "Verti-zontal differentiation in monopolistic competition", by F. Di Comite, J.-F. Thisse and H. Vandenbussche, *Research series*, October 2011.
- 217. "The evolution of Alexandre Lamfalussy's thought on the international and European monetary system (1961-1993)" by I. Maes, *Research series*, November 2011.
- 218. "Economic importance of air transport and airport activities in Belgium Report 2009", by X. Deville and S. Vennix, *Document series*, December 2011.
- 219. "Comparative advantage, multi-product firms and trade liberalisation: An empirical test", by C. Fuss and L. Zhu, *Research series*, January 2012.
- 220. "Institutions and export dynamics", by L. Araujo, G. Mion and E. Ornelas, *Research series*, February 2012.
- 221. "Implementation of EU legislation on rail liberalisation in Belgium, France, Germany and the Netherlands", by X. Deville and F. Verduyn, *Document series*, March 2012.
- 222. "Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa and the origins of the euro", by I. Maes, Document series, March 2012.
- 223. "(Not so) easy come, (still) easy go? Footloose multinationals revisited", by P. Blanchard, E. Dhyne, C. Fuss and C. Mathieu, *Research series*, March 2012.
- 224. "Asymmetric information in credit markets, bank leverage cycles and macroeconomic dynamics", by A. Rannenberg, *Research series*, April 2012.
- 225. "Economic importance of the Belgian ports: Flemish maritime ports, Liège port complex and the port of Brussels Report 2010", by C. Mathys, Document series, July 2012.
- 226. "Dissecting the dynamics of the US trade balance in an estimated equilibrium model", by P. Jacob and G. Peersman, *Research series*, August 2012.
- 227. "Regime switches in volatility and correlation of financial institutions", by K. Boudt, J. Daníelsson, S.J. Koopman and A. Lucas, *Research series*, October 2012.
- 228. "Measuring and testing for the systemically important financial institutions", by C. Castro and S. Ferrari, *Research series*, October 2012.
- 229. "Risk, uncertainty and monetary policy", by G. Bekaert, M. Hoerova and M. Lo Duca, Research series, October 2012.
- 230. "Flights to safety", by L. Baele, G. Bekaert, K. Inghelbrecht and M. Wei, Research series, October 2012.
- 231. "Macroprudential policy, countercyclical bank capital buffers and credit supply: Evidence from the Spanish dynamic provisioning experiments", by G. Jiménez, S. Ongena, J.-L. Peydró and J. Saurina, *Research series*, October 2012.
- 232. "Bank/sovereign risk spillovers in the European debt crisis", by V. De Bruyckere, M. Gerhardt, G. Schepens and R. Vander Vennet, *Research series*, October 2012.
- 233. "A macroeconomic framework for quantifying systemic risk", by Z. He and A. Krishnamurthy, *Research series*, October 2012.
- 234. "Fiscal policy, banks and the financial crisis", by R. Kollmann, M. Ratto, W. Roeger and J. in't Veld, Research series, October 2012.
- 235. "Endogenous risk in a DSGE model with capital-constrained financial intermediaries", by H. Dewachter and R. Wouters, *Research series*, October 2012.
- 236. "A macroeconomic model with a financial sector", by M.K. Brunnermeier and Y. Sannikov, *Research series*, October 2012.
- 237. "Services versus goods trade: Are they the same?", by A. Ariu, Research series, December 2012.
- 238. "Importers, exporters, and exchange rate disconnect", by M. Amiti, O. Itskhoki and J. Konings, *Research series*, December 2012.
- 239. "Concording EU trade and production data over time", by I. Van Beveren, A.B. Bernard and H. Vandenbussche, *Research series*, December 2012.